Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/755,009	WOOD, WILLIAM A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James P. Hughes	2883
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>James P. Hughes</u> .	(3)	
(2) Svetlana Short .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		<i>,</i>
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Qi et al. (2003/0142940)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirection of all issues.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a vocarate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	Supervi:	Frank G. Font sory Patent Examiner sology Center 2800
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	oplicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution; applicant's representative, Svetlana Short, was contacted regarding the Qi teaching reference. The 2003/0142940 reference would be available for use in a 103(c) rejection; however, as there is a common assignee and a common inventor, applicant could overcome the reference with a statement that the Qi invention was commonly owned - at the time of the invention - and the instant applicant, Wood, was an inventor of the relevant teachings - e.g. that reducing the outer cladding diameter of less than 90 microns is advantageous because it will reduce the size, weight, and cost of a coiled fiber device suitable for dispersion compensation. See MPEP 706.02(1).

Svetlana Short verbally made the statement in an interview on August 19, 2005. During the interview it was agreed upon that applicant would respond to a Notice of Allowance with the statement in writing. Applicant is required to place the written statement on record..